

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/646,979   | 08/22/2003     | Ann Louise McCormack | 19615                    | 1058             |  |
| 23556 75   | 590 08/07/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                 |                  |  |
| KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET |                |                      | MATZEK, MATTHEW D        |                  |  |
| NEENAH, WI   |                |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ·  |                |                      | 1771                     |                  |  |
|  |                |                      | DATE MAIL FD: 08/07/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)     | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/646,979        | MCCORMACK ET AL. |              |  |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit         |              |  |  |
| Matthew D. Matzek | 1771             |              |  |  |

|  | Matricia D. Mazer  | L '''' '  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the d  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI   | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL   | LOWANCE.  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:   | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>ving replies: (1) an amendment, af<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in  | f Appeal. To avoid aba<br>ffidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)           |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin   | ng date of the final rejecti  | ion.                                     |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  | 06.07(f).  |   |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL   | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da  | t of the fee. The appropr<br>ginally set in the final Offi                  | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | o avoid dismissal of th   |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief  | f will not be entered b   | ocauco.                                  |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | nsideration and/or search (see NC<br>ow);<br>tter form for appeal by materially re<br>corresponding number of finally re<br>16 and 41.33(a)).<br>21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | OTE below); educing or simplifying ejected claims.                          | the issues for                           |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).   |  | , timely filed amendme  | ent canceling the                        |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 28-30.   |  | vill be entered and an o  | explanation of                           |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  | •   |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | ut before or on the date of filing a N<br>d sufficient reasons why the affida  | Notice of Appeal will <u>ne</u><br>evit or other evidence i                 | ot be entered<br>s necessary and         |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper<br>y and was not earlier presented.   | eal and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(                             | nils to provide a (1).                   |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | on of the status of the claims after o   | entry is below or attac   | hed.                                     |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by   | ut does NOT place the application  | in condition for allowa   | ince because:                            |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper  | No(s)   |  |
| 13. Other:   |  | - M   |  |
|  |  | WNORCATOR   | RES                                      |

May hellath

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation of the filler being present only within the discreet regions of the carrier resin phase and being completely separate from the letdown phase sets forth a limitation that has not been previously considered by Examiner and would require further searching.